Welcome from the Colloquium Conveners

Welcome to the third annual Society for Educational Studies Oriel Colloquium. Following on from the success of the first two events, we would like to formally welcome you to this year’s Colloquium titled ‘Education Reform Legislation in a Changing Society’. This Colloquium intends to celebrate the many anniversaries of major Education Acts in the UK and internationally, with the key note presentations and seminar papers taking such dates as starting points for broader discussions on continuities and changes in legislation involving the regime of power, control and regulation of education. We hope that a number of papers will consider the international context and the relationship between educational legislation and other social legislation and reform.

We also look forward to hearing from colleagues that have received funding from the Society under its ‘2020 Anniversary Awards’ small grant scheme.

Professor Gary McCulloch
Institute of Education, University College London
Editor, British Journal of Educational Studies

Professor James Arthur OBE
University of Birmingham
Chair, Society for Educational Studies
Programme

Thursday 26 September 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.00noon–1.30pm</td>
<td>Arrival and registration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.30–1.30pm</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30–1.45pm</td>
<td>Welcome: Professor James Arthur OBE Chair, Society for Educational Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45–2.45pm</td>
<td>Key Note 1: Gary McCulloch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.45–3.00pm</td>
<td>Tea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00–4.30pm</td>
<td>Seminar Session 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.30–5.30pm</td>
<td>Key Note 2: William Richardson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.30–7.00pm</td>
<td>Check into bedrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.00–7.30pm</td>
<td>Drinks Reception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.30pm</td>
<td>Dinner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Friday 27 September 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.00–9.00am</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00–10.30am</td>
<td>Seminar Session 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.30–11.30am</td>
<td>Key Note 3: Stephen McKinney</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.30–11.45am</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.45am–1.15pm</td>
<td>Seminar Session 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15–2.15pm</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.15–3.15pm</td>
<td>Key Note 4: Jane Martin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.15–3.30pm</td>
<td>Tea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.30–4.30pm</td>
<td>Key Note 5: Anne West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.30–6.00pm</td>
<td>Plenary and Publications: Gary McCulloch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00pm</td>
<td>Close</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Keynote Speakers

Professor Gary McCulloch  
Institute of Education, University College London, UK  
Chair: Professor James Arthur

Revisiting the Elementary Education Act of 1870–150 Years On: From Acts and Facts to a Social History of Compulsory Education

Abstract: Fifty years ago, the centenary of the 1870 Elementary Education Act was celebrated by many as this key legislation appeared to represent the founding of a national education system. As we approach the sesquicentenary of the Act, we must take heed of a radically changed reality. Historians of education have turned away from a preoccupation with ‘Acts and facts’ as their attention now moves from top-down emphasis on educational reformers and structures towards the social interactions of pupils, teachers and schools. The educational world of the nineteenth century has become increasingly silent and distant as the focus of scholarship has become mainly confined to specialist studies of the twentieth century. What Harold Silver described in the 1970s and 1980s as the neglect of education in Victorian England has become much more obvious and profound. Meanwhile, the history of education has itself been thrust towards the margins of the study of education as a whole, even as it has burnished its credentials as a vital aspect of social history.

This lecture seeks to revisit the 1870 Act, this time not as a celebration of political and religious interactions at the apex of English society, but in terms of its contribution to our understanding of the schooling of society as a whole. It was educational legislation that underpinned the slow formation of compulsory education, not in any straightforward or linear way, but as itself part of social history. It was experienced and enacted not only in the Houses of Parliament, nor simply by William Forster in 1870 or Balfour and Morant in 1902, but just as in our own time, through the byelaws and in the localities where schools and parents had to interpret them, sometimes challenge them, and often bear their hidden consequences. The richness of the 1870 Act, and its continuing relevance in the 21st century, lies in its legacies for the social history of compulsory education.

Professor William Richardson  
University of Exeter, UK  
Chair: Professor Tom Harrison

The public schools, nineteenth century legislation and the shaping of state maintained secondary education in England after 1902

Abstract: To what extent did the legal framework for post-elementary education in schools in the late nineteenth century shape the development of maintained secondary education in England after 1902? The short answer is: To a very great extent. In explaining why, this lecture will examine the conditions of legal and administrative reform under which a community of around 100 leading boys’ schools developed in Britain from the Public Schools / Endowed Schools Acts of 1868–1869 to the Education Act of 1902. Central to this development was the particular legal status of each school: how this was arrived at under charity law and whether, in each case, it resulted in financial stability; what was, nevertheless, held in common by a group of schools of quite different local cultures; how this mix of local particularity and wider shared values contributed to a powerful collective ethos; and how this culture was accepted in full by the ancient universities.

So influential was this ethos by the late 1890s that it shaped many of the assumptions as to how a national system of secondary schools in England – for girls and for boys – should be constituted, administered and funded under legal powers acquired by the Board of Education in 1899 and local education authorities in 1902. This was a settlement arrived at under charity law and whether, and in each case, it resulted in financial stability; what was, nevertheless, held in common by a group of schools of quite different local cultures; how this mix of local particularity and wider shared values contributed to a powerful collective ethos; and how this culture was accepted in full by the ancient universities.

The short answer is: To a very great extent. In explaining why, this lecture will examine the conditions of legal and administrative reform under which a community of around 100 leading boys’ schools developed in Britain from the Public Schools / Endowed Schools Acts of 1868–1869 to the Education Act of 1902. Central to this development was the particular legal status of each school: how this was arrived at under charity law and whether, in each case, it resulted in financial stability; what was, nevertheless, held in common by a group of schools of quite different local cultures; how this mix of local particularity and wider shared values contributed to a powerful collective ethos; and how this culture was accepted in full by the ancient universities.

The Education (Scotland) Act, 1918

Abstract: The two key education actsin Scotland in the late 19th and early 20th centuries were the Education (Scotland) Act, 1872 and the Education (Scotland) Act, 1918. The Education (Scotland) Act, 1872 represented an ambitious drive towards the establishment of a national system of schooling in Scotland that aimed to incorporate many of the advantages, including the large number of Christian denominational schools. This was partly successful as many of the Church of Scotland and Free Church schools transferred. The Catholic and Episcopal churches were anxious that their schools would lose their denominational status and refused to join the state system and their schools remained independent. In the new system was beset by persistent challenges: the focus was on primary schooling and insufficient secondary schooling; the poverty of many of the families in industrial areas and the need for the children to work to contribute to the family income rather than attend school and an ongoing debate about the justice of the terms for the Church schools that had transferred and the lack of any compensation for the transfer.

After long and protracted negotiation between the state and the Catholic and Episcopal Churches, the Education (Scotland) Act, 1918 provided another opportunity for these Churches to transfer their schools to the state funded system that allowed them to retain their denominational identity. This Act appears to alleviate the anxieties that they harboured at that time, yet there were to be further complex and problematic negotiations before the schools transferred in the late 1920s.
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This involves mapping the relationship between 'radical' agendas in the 1960s and 1970s. Offering a fresh reappraisal of the flourishing actions and wider social and cultural change, the relationship between political thought and comprehensive ideals, it maps out a related and comprehensive account of the history of social legislation and reform to present a more balanced account of the history of English educational legislation and other related phenomena. This highlights the history of the Comprehensive Education Movement. Reconstructing the life of Caroline Benn (1926–2000), the American-born wife of Tony Benn (1925–2014, one of the most prominent post-war socialists in Europe), her own work was overshadowed and she was often sucked into controversy that diverted attention from her contributions to the zeitgeist of British public life as one of the leaders of the Comprehensive Education Movement. Reconstructing the story of Benn’s public career life through oral testimonies and previously unused documentary sources, this presentation will offer a fresh reappraisal of a critical period focusing on the generation and circulation of documentary sources, this presentation will address the politics of legislative provision for comprehensive schooling in England in the 20th century: From discretionary nursery education in England in the 1970s, these were thwarted by the advent of World War II (1939–45) there was an expansion of nurseries, some of which subsequently became nursery schools. Following the 1944 Education Act, LEAs were given a duty to ‘have regard... to the need for securing’ the provision of nursery schools/ classes. Given local discretion, the distribution of nursery schools/classes was patchy.

Although there were proposals for expansion in the 1970s, these were thwarted by the economic situation.

Legislative provision for early childhood education in England in the 20th century: From discretionary nursery education to universal early childhood education and care

Abstract: There is a paucity of research focusing on legislative provision for early childhood education in England in the 20th century. This is perhaps surprising given the major developments that took place during this period: in the early 20th century, state-funded nursery education was provided, at the discretion of local education authorities (LEAs), in nursery schools (or classes) for children whose home conditions were deemed unsatisfactory. By the end of the 20th century, ‘nursery education’ was universally available for four-year-olds and delivered by a ‘mixed economy’ of providers. Just over 100 years ago, the 1918 Education Act gave LEAs the power to supply or aid the provision of nursery schools (or classes) for children ‘whose attendance at such a school’ was ‘necessary or desirable for their healthy physical and mental development’. Over the following two decades, the development of nursery schools was slow. However, with the advent of World War II (1939–45) there was an expansion of nurseries, some of which subsequently became nursery schools. Following the 1944 Education Act, LEAs were given a duty to ‘have regard... to the need for securing’ the provision of nursery schools/classes. Given local discretion, the distribution of nursery schools/classes was patchy.

A significant change in thinking about the provision of early childhood education took place in the 1990s with the introduction, by the Conservative Government, of a nursery education voucher scheme. This was enabled by the 1998 Nursery Education and Grant Maintained Schools Act, which defined ‘nursery education’ as ‘education provided for children (whether at schools or other premises)’. The voucher – for four-year-olds – could be used in state-maintained nursery schools, nursery or reception classes in primary schools, private and voluntary providers, or independent schools.

Although the voucher scheme was short-lived, the idea of universal early childhood education continued, with the subsequent Labour Government introducing an entitlement to nursery education – delivered by a mixed economy of providers – for all four-year-olds. Under the 1998 School Standards and Framework Act, the LEA was obliged to ‘secure provision (whether or not by them) of nursery education’ for children of the prescribed age. This paper provides a ‘thick description’ of legislative provision, and analyses the nature and extent of continuity and change over the course of the 20th century, focusing on issues such as government assumptions and ideas about the beneficiaries of early childhood education (targeted/universal provision); providers (nursery schools and classes/private, voluntary providers); and the role played by central and local government (powers/duties/funding).

Keynote Speakers Continued

Professor Jane Martin
University of Birmingham, UK
Chair: Dr. Richard Race

Telling Stories about Comprehensive Education: hidden histories of politics, policy and practice in post-war England

Abstract: Using individual narratives and testimonies this paper addresses the politics of legislative provision for comprehensive education with an emphasis on the 1940s to 1980s. It has two main concerns. First, focusing on the generation and circulation of comprehensive ideals, it maps out a related and overlapping set of personal and professional networks which join up central and local government, social movements, parents and the teaching profession. Second, it highlights the case of Caroline Benn (1926–2000), educational reformist and public intellectual. The American-born wife of Tony Benn (1925–2014, one of the most prominent post-war socialists in Europe), her own work was overshadowed and she was often sucked into controversy that diverted attention from her contributions to the zeitgeist of British public life as one of the leaders of the Comprehensive Education Movement. Reconstructing the story of Benn’s public career life through oral testimonies and previously unused documentary sources, this presentation will offer a fresh reappraisal of a critical period focusing on legislative provision for comprehensive schooling in England in this period and how it contributed to the shaping of the national education system in a changing society.

Professor Anne West
London School of Economics, UK
Chair: Professor Gary McCulloch

Legislative provision for early childhood education in England in the 20th century: From discretionary nursery education to universal early childhood education and care

Abstract: There is a paucity of research focusing on legislative provision for early childhood education in England in the 20th century. This is perhaps surprising given the major developments that took place during this period: in the early 20th century, state-funded nursery education was provided, at the discretion of local education authorities (LEAs), in nursery schools (or classes) for children whose home conditions were deemed unsatisfactory. By the end of the 20th century, ‘nursery education’ was universally available for four-year-olds and delivered by a ‘mixed economy’ of providers. Just over 100 years ago, the 1918 Education Act gave LEAs the power to supply or aid the provision of nursery schools (or classes) for children ‘whose attendance at such a school’ was ‘necessary or desirable for their healthy physical and mental development’. Over the following two decades, the development of nursery schools was slow. However, with the advent of World War II (1939–45) there was an expansion of nurseries, some of which subsequently became nursery schools. Following the 1944 Education Act, LEAs were given a duty to ‘have regard... to the need for securing’ the provision of nursery schools/classes. Given local discretion, the distribution of nursery schools/classes was patchy.

A significant change in thinking about the provision of early childhood education took place in the 1990s with the introduction, by the Conservative Government, of a nursery education voucher scheme. This was enabled by the 1998 Nursery Education and Grant Maintained Schools Act, which defined ‘nursery education’ as ‘education provided for children (whether at schools or other premises)’. The voucher – for four-year-olds – could be used in state-maintained nursery schools, nursery or reception classes in primary schools, private and voluntary providers, or independent schools.

Although the voucher scheme was short-lived, the idea of universal early childhood education continued, with the subsequent Labour Government introducing an entitlement to nursery education – delivered by a mixed economy of providers – for all four-year-olds. Under the 1998 School Standards and Framework Act, the LEA was obliged to ‘secure provision (whether or not by them) of nursery education’ for children of the prescribed age. This paper provides a ‘thick description’ of legislative provision, and analyses the nature and extent of continuity and change over the course of the 20th century, focusing on issues such as government assumptions and ideas about the beneficiaries of early childhood education (targeted/universal provision); providers (nursery schools and classes/private, voluntary providers); and the role played by central and local government (powers/duties/funding).
Seminar Session List

Seminar Session 1
3.00–4.30pm
Thursday 26 September 2019
Harris Lecture Theatre
Chair: Dr. Mike Waring

- Stephen Parker and Sophie Allen
  A Mediator Between the Old and the New? Archibald C. Tait, the Church of England, education policy formation, and the 1870 Education Act

- Cathal O’Siochru and David Lundie
  The Right to Withdraw: Implications for parental withdrawal from Religious Education in England and Wales

MacGregor Room
Chair: Dr. Terri Kim

- Roy Lowe
  The Charitable Status of Elite Schools: The origins of a national scandal

- Jon Davison
  Class Acts: An examination of the discourses of UK Government educational documentation 1870–2020

Music Room
Chair: Professor Paul Croft

- Sharon Clancy
  Adult Education Funding, Civil Society and the State: Boldness and compromise in the 1918 Education Act and the 1919 Report on Adult Education

- Yinka Olusoga
  ‘A System of Beginning Early’: Legacies of the 1870 Elementary Act and the 2006 Childcare Act

Seminar Session 2
9.00–10.30am
Friday 27 September 2019
Harris Lecture Theatre
Chair: Professor Jon Davison

- Michael Fullard
  Teachers’ Standards: The need to engage with character education

- Julia Horne
  Mass Education and University Reform in the Late Twentieth Century

- Heather Ellis
  Study Abroad, Cultural Diplomacy and Higher Education Reform in Post-War Britain

MacGregor Room
Chair: Professor Uvanney Maylor

- Brahmi Norwich
  From the Warnock Report (1978) to an Education Framework Commission: A novel contemporary approach to setting priorities for educational policy making for the diversity of pupils

- Sharon Smith
  Parent participation and Special Educational Needs

- Janet Soler and Mark Olssen
  Framing and Analysing: Learning disability and dyslexia: a recent history of educational legislative reform from a Foucauldian perspective

Music Room
Chair: Professor Helen Gunter

- Tony Eades
  An Analysis of the Changing Wording of ‘Spiritual, Moral, Social and Cultural’ Development in Education Acts in England Since 1918 and the Reasoning Behind These Changes

- John Fowler
  The Decline and Fall of Local Education in 20 Acts of Parliament Over the Last 75 years

- Sarah Younie and Marilyn Leask
  Lessons from the Bonfire of the Quangos: The case for legislation to provide checks and balances to the powers of the Secretary of State for Education

Seminar Session 3
11.45am–1.15pm
Friday 27 September 2019
Harris Lecture Theatre
Chair: Dr. Sarah Helawell

- Symposium: Georgina Brewis, Lara Green and Daniel Laqua
  University Access and Student Life in the Aftermath of the Great War: Local, national and transnational dimensions of the scheme for the higher education of ex-service students (1918)

MacGregor Room
Chair: Professor Mark Olssen

- John Fowler
  Government Control of School Education: A critical look at school funding since the Education Act 1944

- Lottie Hoare
  Roger Noel Amis (1897–1955): Gatekeeper on educational reform in the mid-twentieth century?

- K. Anna S. Olsson Rost
  Unintended but Always Significant? A re-examination of the consequences of national education reform on local developments in the pioneering comprehensive schooling

Music Room
Chair: Professor Helen Gunter

- Tony Eades
  An Analysis of the Changing Wording of ‘Spiritual, Moral, Social and Cultural’ Development in Education Acts in England Since 1918 and the Reasoning Behind These Changes

- John Fowler
  The Decline and Fall of Local Education in 20 Acts of Parliament Over the Last 75 years

- Sarah Younie and Marilyn Leask
  Lessons from the Bonfire of the Quangos: The case for legislation to provide checks and balances to the powers of the Secretary of State for Education
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